



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/685,554	10/10/2000	Pieter Sierd Van der Meulen	US000266	3961	
7590 09/28/2004			EXAMINER		
	ctronics North Americ	NEYZARI, ALI			
Coporate Intellecutal Property 1000 West Maude Ave. Sunnyvale, CA 94085			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2655		
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/685,	554	VAN DER MEULI SIERD	VAN DER MEULEN, PIETER SIERD			
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		ALI NE		2655				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on th	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS on time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply very reply received by the Office later than three months at ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no equinication. b) days, a reply within the strutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a atutory minimum of thir will expire SIX (6) MON oplication to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status					•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>10 October 20</u>	<u>00</u> .					
2a) <u></u>								
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers			•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmer	nt(s)	•						
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pration Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Province) (PTO-1449 or Pr			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
C. Detect and T	rademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/685,554

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,554

Art Unit: 2655

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. A system for making "content information available to a user for selection". There is no structural cooperative relationship of the elements. what are the elements?

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. Claim 6 is a method claim without recitation of any essential steps structural cooperative relationship of the elements, no steps and no relationship between the steps.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,554

Art Unit: 2655

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors

Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S.

patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before

November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wehmeyer (US 6,034,925).

Wehmeyer discloses a system for identifying various physical or logical characteristics of data stored on a prerecorded medium (CD or DVD, ...). The system allows the user to indicate a plurality of selections.

Fig 1 shows, Jukebox 100 which consists; of plurality of audio CDs (105), local database 135 (where each of the characteristic profile is stored), controller, media reader, and communication link (Col. 3, lines 14-60).

With respect to claim 3, see col. 3, line 54-56.

With respect to claims 4 and 5, there is provided a remote database (155) in the system (col. 4, lines 22-29).

With respect to claim 7, see col. 2, lines 41-45).

Art Unit: 2655

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALI NEYZARI whose telephone number is 703-308-4906. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs from 7:00 AM TO 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DORIS TO can be reached on 703-305-4827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ali Neyzari

DUNACY EXAMINER